

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/538,298	06/10/2005	Yasushi Kubota	Q88489	1582	
65565 SUGHRUE-26	7590 03/23/200 55550	9	EXAMINER WONG, LESLIE A		
2100 PENNSY	LVANIA AVE. NW				
WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20037-3213		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1794		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/23/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/538,298	KUBOTA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Leslie Wong	1794		

	Leslie Wong	1794				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 09 March 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.				
 M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must limely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE).	FIRST REPLY WAS FI	LED WITHIN TWO			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above; if checked. Any repty received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office e of the final rejection, e	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as ven if timely filed,			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
 ∑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b (a) ∑ They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belov (c) ∑ They are not deemed to place the application in bett 	sideration and/or search (see NOT v);	ΓE below);				
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reie	ected claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.11						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).			
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate, t	timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		I be entered and an e	xplanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-10</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	hafaa aa aa dha data af Stan a Na		be estimated			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).			
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.			
The request for reconsideration has been considered but the claimed invention does not define over the prior art for the claimed invention does not define over the prior art for the claimed invention does not define over the prior art for the claimed invention.		condition for allowan	ce because:			
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (
13. Other:	,					

/Leslie Wong/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794 Continuation of 3, NOTE: The amendments to the claims raise new issues that would require further consideration and search.